

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ANG 00.03 CIP5 9838 10/636,072 08/06/2003 James Lousararian **EXAMINER** 7590 10/31/2006 32047 GROSSMAN, TUCKER, PERREAULT & PFLEGER, PLLC YABUT, DIANE D 55 SOUTH COMMERICAL STREET PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** MANCHESTER, NH 03101 3734

DATE MAILED: 10/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>	Application No.	Application No. Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/636,072	LOUSARARIAN ET	AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Diane Yabut	3734	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addr	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI .136(a). In no event, however, may a I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI te, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this com BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 (2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal mat	•	nerits is
Disposition of Claims	•		
 4) Claim(s) 1 and 5-17 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 5-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or and/or are subject. 	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination (S) The drawing(s) filed on 17 January 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e: a) accepted or b) ce drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). I(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	R 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in A Its ority documents have been Its have been received in A Its have been received in A Its have been received.	Application No received in this National S	tage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/2/04;2/17/04;6/4/04.	Paper No. 5) Notice of	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application S: 3/9/06;5/23/06.	

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group 1, drawn to claims 1-17 and Species I, shown in Figures 72, 75, and 76 in the reply filed on 18 October 2006 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 18-47 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to nonelected inventions and species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 18 October 2006.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 2 January 2004, 17 February 2004, 4 June 2004, 9 March 2006, and 23 May 2006 are acknowledged. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statements are being considered by the examiner.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 7 is objected to because of the following informalities: On lines 1-2 of the claim it reads "member is polymer sheet" and should rather read --member is a polymer sheet--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 3

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 6. Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Paolitto et al., or **Paolitto** (U.S. Pub. No. **20030093091**).

Claims 1 and 7: Paolitto discloses a pledget 40 of polymeric sheet material comprising a member configured to be retained adjacent to a wound site by a staple, said member comprising a base region configured to be at least partially disposed between a plurality of prongs of a staple, said member further comprising a plurality of peripheral notches 403, 404 configured to at least partially receive said plurality of prongs therein, said notches further being configured to engage said plurality of prongs to retain said member to said staple (Figures 7A-7C and pages 9-10, paragraph 115).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/636,072

Art Unit: 3734

8. Claims 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 14 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Paolitto** (U.S. Pub. No. **20030093091**) in view of **Hain** (U.S. Pub. No. **20040162580**).

Claims 5, 6, 8, 9-11, 14 and 17: Paolitto discloses the claimed device except for the member comprising a woven or non-woven fabric material comprising polyester material, them member being bioabsorbable, the member comprising a physiologically active agent, the physiologically active agent adapted to be released over a predetermined time interval, the physiologically active agent comprising a coating applied to said member, the physiologically active agent comprising an anti-microbial agent, and the physiologically active agent promoting extraluminal clotting.

Hain teaches a member comprising a woven or non-woven fabric material comprising polyester material, them member being bioabsorbable, the member comprising a physiologically active agent, the physiologically active agent adapted to be released over a predetermined time interval, the physiologically active agent comprising a coating applied to said member, the physiologically active agent comprising an anti-microbial agent ("silver oxide"), and the physiologically active agent promoting extraluminal clotting ("collagen") (page 2, paragraph 28, pages 9-10, paragraphs 88-95). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide a woven or non-woven fabric material comprising polyester material, as taught by Hain, to the pledget of Paolitto since it was known in the art that woven, polyester material has absorbent properties. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to provide a bioabsorbable pledget, as taught by Hain, to Paolitto since it was known in the

Art Unit: 3734

art that it removes the need to surgically remove the device. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to provide a physiologically active agent adapted to be released over a predetermined time interval in order to provide controlled, sustained release provides therapeutic benefits that can last from minutes to weeks or longer. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a physiologically active agent comprising a coating applied to said member, the physiologically active agent comprising an anti-microbial agent since it was known in the art that coatings contact tissue directly and anti-microbial agents minimize bacterial growth in, on or near the pledget.

9. Claims 12, 13, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paolitto (U.S. Pub. No. 20030093091) in view of Hain (U.S. Pub. No. 20040162580) as applied to Claim 9 above, and further in view of Marlar et al., or Marlar (U.S. Patent No. 5,093,263).

Claims 12, 13 and 16: Paolitto and Hain disclose the claimed device except for said member being impregnated with said physiologically active agent and formed from said physiologically active agent which inhibits intraluminal clotting.

Marlar teaches a pledget member being impregnated with said physiologically active agent formed from said physiologically active agent which inhibits intraluminal clotting ("heparin") (col. 3, lines 21-33). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide a member being impregnated with said physiologically active agent and formed from said physiologically active agent which

Application/Control Number: 10/636,072

Art Unit: 3734

inhibits intraluminal clotting, as taught by Marlar, to Paolitto and Hain in order to effectively antiocoagulate blood.

10. Claims 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paolitto (U.S. Pub. No. 20030093091) in view of Hain (U.S. Pub. No. 20040162580) as applied to Claim 9 above, and further in view of Mandelbaum (U.S. Patent No. 4,221,215).

<u>Claim 15</u>: Paolitto and Hain disclose the claimed device except for said physiologically active agent comprising an antiseptic agent.

Mandelbaum teaches a physiologically active agent comprising an antiseptic agent (col. 5, lines 55-67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide a physiologically active agent comprising an antiseptic agent, as taught by Mandelbaum, to Paolitto and Hain since it was known in the art that antiseptic agents prevent the growth and reproduction of harmful bacteria and viruses.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Diane Yabut whose telephone number is (571) 272-6831. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 9AM-4PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hayes can be reached on (571) 272-4959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3734

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DY

MICHAEL J. HAYES
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER